

From: [Jay Field](#)
To: [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Robert.Neely@noaa.gov](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [rgensemer@parametrix.com](#); [PETERSON.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us](#); [JMalek@parametrix.com](#); [Bob Dexter](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: Re: Call about Hyalella bioassay hit levels for Portland Harbor
Date: 06/02/2008 04:30 PM
Attachments: [PH_controls_biomass_070922.doc](#)
[PH_CTRL_080602.xls](#)

Attached is a table that shows the control data for survival, growth, and biomass from the Round 2 bioassays and some plots of survival vs growth in the controls and lowest control-norm response and biomass.
Jay

Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov wrote:
> All,
>
> Burt has asked me to organize a call to wrap up our position on the hit
> levels for Portland Harbor. 9am Tuesday,
[REDACTED] Lets use the TCT call in number.

Non-Responsive

> The issue is whether to continue to use the hit levels that we have used
> so far and are in the problem formulation or modify them based on
> RESET/LWG's proposal.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Joe
>
>
>

--
Jay Field
Assessment and Restoration Division
Office of Response and Restoration, NOAA
7600 Sand Point Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6349
(P) 206-526-6404
(F) 206-526-6865
(E) jay.field@noaa.gov